


GENOCIDE

A

MENACE

TO

UNITED STATES



By Myron C. Fagan

GENOCIDE:

A

MENACE

TO

UNITED STATES

By Myron C. Fagan

Published by

CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD Inc.

P. O. Box 8655, Cole Br., Hollywood 46, Calif.

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR
(Organized to combat Communism in Hollywood)

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1950 — News-Bulletin

A re-print of Myron C. Fagan's address to the fellows of the Cinema Educational Guild at their meeting on November 28, 1950, at the Wilshire-Ebell Theatre in Los Angeles, California.

C O N T E N T S

1. Analysis of GENOCIDE.
 2. The Tragic Travesty of the U. N. Flag
 3. U. N. is U. S. Frankenstein.
 4. Reds Infiltrate Public Libraries.
-

This News-Bulletin copyrighted December, 1950

Price per copy, Fifty cents

All rights reserved

A NEW WORD IS BORN

Back in 1945, in the formative days of the United Nations, out of San Francisco came a new word: GENOCIDE. Who coined that word is a mystery. It could well have been Alger Hiss . . . or, more likely, the nimble brains of a Dalton Trumbo or a John Howard Lawson, who are more accustomed to word coinage. Anyway, there it was: a new word, with no authoritative interpretation for it. In those early days the craftiness of the Communist was still an unknown quantity to me . . . I saw no menace in that new word. But I like to know the full meaning of every word I hear, hence I searched through the dictionary . . . but even Webster didn't have a word for it. At that time it had no importance to me, and I dismissed it . . . *exactly as but a microscopic fraction of all our people are dismissing it at this very moment!* Yet, because the true meaning of the word is so completely hidden to the average person, GENOCIDE is the most frightening menace to the very existence of the United States as a sovereign nation—and to the SAFETY of every citizen of the United States!!!

THE GENOCIDE CONVENTION

(On December 11, 1946, the United Nations passed a Resolution (No. 96) calling for a "Genocide Convention." The full title is "Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide." *This U. N. project is now before the Foreign Relations Committee of the United States.* The reasons for this Genocide Convention are explained in the Provisions of the Resolution, as follows:

"The Contracting Parties (the Nations that ratify),

"Having considered the Declaration made by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its Resolution 96 ((1) dated December 11, 1946, that genocide is a crime under international law, contrary to the spirit and aims of the United Nations and condemned by the civilized world;

"Recognizing that at all periods of history genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity; and:

“Being convinced that, in order to liberate mankind from such an odious scourge, international co-operation is required,

“Hereby agree as hereinafter provided.”

GENOCIDE DEFINED IN ARTICLE II AS:

“Any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, *as such*;

A) Killing members of the group; B) Causing serious bodily *or mental harm* to members of the group; C) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; D) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; E) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.”

Article III lists the following among other acts which are punishable:

- (1) Direct and public incitement to commit Genocide;
- (2) Attempt to commit Genocide; (3) Complicity in Genocide.

Article VI states: “Persons charged with Genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in Article III shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the State in the territory in which the act was committed, or by such international penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction with respect to those Contracting Parties (meaning Nations) which shall have accepted its jurisdiction!” . . . this last proviso spells death to our American way of life—and to America as a free nation.

THE GIMMICK IN IT

On September 15, 1950, Merwyn K. Hart, President of the National Economic Council, Inc., sounded a warning in a Bulletin issued by that organization, which pithily unmaskes the whole scheme—as follows:

“The Genocide Convention has been touted as a purely humane measure, an effort by international action to outlaw the killing of a whole race. It is claimed that it seeks to prevent what Hitler did

in Germany, although Hitler's victims were peoples of several races or nationalities—by no means just one.

“No worthy American would countenance the destroying of any race; or, indeed, the killing of any single individual, except for heinous crime and by due process of law.

“Furthermore, there is only one country in the world that at any time in the foreseeable future would even think of attempting to destroy any race, as a race. That nation, of course, is Soviet Russia. Does anyone suppose that Soviet Russia, even though she were to ratify a Genocide Treaty, would hesitate to exterminate any number of people of whatever race, if it would serve the ends of the fourteen individuals who sit in the Kremlin and rule half the world?

“. . . it is obvious that the intent of those who thought up this Genocide idea was to secure international jurisdiction in every signatory country over taking the life of even a single member of any particular group. For a member of any such group in the United States could allege that John Doe, by his conversation, or his communications to the newspapers, or his public speeches, was causing him ‘serious . . . mental harm,’ and ask that a world body, whether the United Nations or the International Court of Justice or some tribunal especially set up for the purpose, bring the accused person to trial.

“If the United States should ratify this Convention as a treaty, an American citizen could be transported beyond seas to whatever place the international body designated, and there be tried. And from the decision so to transport and try him, and from any conviction that might result, there would be no appeal—at least to an American court.

The jurisdiction of his local, his state and his federal government would have been supplanted. He would have lost all protection of his American citizenship and of the American Bill of Rights.

AMENDMENTS ARE TALKED OF

“The possibility of some such construction of the Genocide Convention seems to have occurred to a number of men in the Senate. As we

understand it, some of them propose that when the Convention is brought before the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and then before the Senate itself, certain restrictions will be offered, which would forbid such a construction of these particular passages.

"But assuming, for the sake of argument, that such restrictions were added, it would be an easy matter at some future time to slip through the Senate a further resolution which would remove the restrictions."

GENOCIDE MEANS GLOBAL F.E.P.C.

To date the American people, as a whole, have emphatically rejected F.E.P.C., although eight States have been deluded into passing it in one form or another. But Felix Frankfurter, Dean Acheson, Eleanor Roosevelt and other agitators are now convinced that national F.E.P.C. is a dead issue. Therefore they have craftily seized upon the "HUMANITARIAN" Genocide Pact as a means to create what would prove to be a GLOBAL F.E.P.C. . . . *that is, global everywhere except in Soviet Russia and her Satelites*—as clarified in further pages.

Mr. Hart's analysis of the Genocide Convention and its vicious objectives is crystal clear—yet, he, strangely overlooked, *at least in that Bulletin*, the most sinister aspects of the plot . . . *plus acts which establish the guilt of all those who participated in those acts!* WE REFER, IN PARTICULAR, TO THE IMMUNITIES HANDED TO COMMUNIST RUSSIA!!!

THE HIDDEN MENACE

The first draft of the Convention defined "Genocide" as killing and or harming of "National" . . . "Ethnical" . . . "Racial" . . . "Religious—or POLITICAL groups. But in subsequent discussions by the United Nations the word "Political" was stricken out *at the demand of Moscow*. Furthermore: . . . *and likewise at the insistence of Moscow* . . . the draft was further amended so as to define Genocide as forbidden ONLY when committed against such a "National, Ethnical, Racial, or Religious group AS SUCH."

In brief, the many millions of men and women whom Moscow has

condemned to a slow but sure death by sending them to Siberian slave labor camps, *where none survive for any length of time, are not protected by the Genocide Convention . . . because they were condemned and are being killed not as "GROUPS," but as so-called "Individual enemies of State."* The fact that these victims are supposedly guilty of *political activities* only does not help them, because the Genocide Convention—at Moscow's insistence—does not outlaw the *killing or harming* of POLITICAL groups, or individuals of POLITICAL groups.

In outlining the text of the Genocide Convention, the United States originally took the stand that: "*Genocide could not be an international crime unless a Government participated in it,*" and, in conjunction with the British representatives, suggested the insertion in the text of the Definition of Genocide . . . "*with the complicity of Government.*" But Moscow and her stooges in the U. N. promptly screamed protests and those qualifying words were deleted from the presently official text.

As a result, the Genocide Convention, *in its present form*, does not apply to the mass murder of millions of people by TOTALITARIAN Governments!

But there is an even more horrifying aspect to this United Nations HUMANITARIAN objectives

If the Genocide Convention is ratified and put into effect—it will actually LEGALIZE Genocide in Moscow and her Satelites, because: 1) POLITICAL *enemies* are not to be protected by the Genocide Convention; 2) Mass slaughter is not considered *Genocide* if people are murdered as *individuals* and not as "groups as such"; 3) The Soviet Government can *not* be indicted because "Government as such" cannot be subject to indictment.

WAKE UP AMERICA!

As previously noted, this Genocide Convention is now before the Foreign Relations Committee of our Senate . . . *with President Truman's blessing!!!* Now, actually, the Genocide Convention is but a

part of the "International Covenant of Human Rights" proposed by the United Nations Committee. At present, the "International Covenant of Human Rights" is still only roughly outlined in a first draft form—*not much more than a synopsis of what it is to be*. Yet, they are frantically trying to railroad our Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying the Genocide Convention! What reason can President Truman and his "*humanitarian advisers*" present for trying to force the ratification of a PART of a RESOLUTION which is still more or less in embryonic state? The only genocide which is actually threatening the entire world—and which is actually being practiced in these very days—is in Communist Russia. It would seem more logical for the United Nations to bend all efforts to eliminate the existing Genocide in Russia before trying to impose it on the United States—but NO! The proposed United Nations Genocide Convention has been diabolically—but skillfully—fashioned so that it would not apply against *Red Moscow's Genocide* under any circumstances!!!

Roosevelt's relict, Eleanor, knows all this . . . Warren Austin knows it . . . Acheson knows it—WHY DON'T THEY LET THE AMERICAN PEOPLE KNOW IT? . . . *why don't they let our 96 Senators know it?* That last question is not as far fetched as it may sound. In June 1949 when we first entered the fight to get that "Federalist Resolution" rescinded, many of the California Solons admitted their utter ignorance of the real objectives of *that Resolution*. It is our firm belief that few, if any, of our 96 Senators are more familiar with the sinister implications in the Genocide Convention . . . *if they were, it would not now be up for consideration by the Foreign Relations Committee!*

"ONE WORLD" FROM ANOTHER ANGLE

Placed vis-a-vis with the UWF scheme, it is obvious that this Genocide Convention is a slice off the same bologna: the UWFers employ the word PEACE to delude the unwary . . . the Genocide Pacters employ the HUMANITARIAN angle. Either way, it means "abolish the United States!"

FRIENDS OF THE GENOCIDE

If we had nothing else to emphasize that the Genocide Pact is a menace to our Country and our way of life, analysis of those who are

frantically endeavoring to get it ratified would clinch it. As long ago as January 1950, the Anti-Defamation League had instructed its publications all over America to strenuously campaign for it, and *ordered* all their followers to pressure their Senators via letters and telegrams to vote for ratification of the Convention . . . various Moving Picture organizations affiliated with A.D.L. were doing likewise . . . all Red Fronts were doing likewise . . . the "United World Federalists" and other One-World groups were doing likewise . . . also the United Nations. America's "Calamity Jane" Eleanor has been screaming for it . . . ditto the sinister Felix Frankfurter and Acheson and Herbert Lehman and ALGER HISS. Harry S. Truman likewise emphatically endorsed it, but we don't believe that *he* knows what it's all about—why, until after the recent elections some one even had him convinced that an elephant is just a little red herring.

BUT IT CAN BE SNEAKED OVER!

In March 1949 the "United World Federalists," with the aid of "Man Mountain" Artie Samish, craftily railroaded their treason-packed Resolution through the California Legislature. They gleefully went rocketing through the nation with that "California Plan"—*to abolish the United States*—and in the following six months succeeded in deluding and seducing 21 additional State Legislatures into approving their Resolution in one form or another. It took heroic labors to stop them—and finally unmask them.

That is exactly what the Genocide Pacters are trying to do—*only they have discovered an easier and faster method:*

Article V of the Constitution contains two ways whereby that Instrument can be amended: one way is for each of the two Houses of Congress to adopt an amendment by a two-thirds vote in each House. It must then be ratified by the Legislatures of three-fourths of the 48 States. This is the method that has always been employed in all the previous 21 Amendments of the Constitution.

The second form, also contained in Article Five of the Constitution, is that upon application by the Legislatures of two-thirds of the 48

States. the Congress shall call a Convention for proposing an Amendment. In this case, too. the Amendment would have to be ratified by three-fourths of all the States. This latter method is what the UWFers tried to pull off, but it required time to seduce a sufficient number of States—and that stripped them of their masks and false whiskers!!!

Thus. it is obvious that both these methods of amending the Constitution provides time for study and analysis, both by Congress and by the entire people of the nation. But the crafty schemers behind the Genocide Pact have discovered that the Constitution may be amended in a much simpler way. This was found in Section 2 of Article Six, which reads as follows:

“This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and *all Treaties* made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be *the Supreme Law of the Land.*”

Now. whether a provision of the Federal Constitution is repealed or superseded. if inconsistent with the provisions of any treaty that is finally ratified. is not made entirely clear. But it is well to bear in mind that. with a Felix Frankfurter more or less dominating our present Supreme Court of the United States. that Judicial Body might be sympathetic to the “HUMANITARIAN” Genocide Pact, and rule that the pertinent provisions of the Constitution had been superseded by the ratification of a treaty . . . and the Genocide Pact is being submitted to the Senate as a *TREATY!*

Now. hitherto. the word “treaty” was generally meant to be an international agreement on matters of commerce, the settlement of a dispute between nations. or the ending of a war. The word’s meaning was never intended to delegate the power to create laws. or to surrender any portion of sovereignty. Unless there was no other way to deal with a particular subject, it could not be dealt with by *treaty.*

MANY WAYS TO SKIN A CAT

Our crafty Genocide Pacters realize that an effort to amend the Constitution would fail—our people are now alerted to *that* trick.

Besides, the people must not be given time to *think*—it must be put over *quick*. A TREATY would not be as quickly suspected as an Amendment to the Constitution—and *could* be sneaked over very quickly . . . in the following manner:

To ratify a treaty it is necessary to secure the vote of two-thirds of the Senators present at the session at which the treaty is voted on. A quorum of the United States Senate is 49. Two-thirds of that number would be 34—just two more than one-third of the *entire* Senate membership!

Thus, in place of the long but safe process set forth in Article V whereby the people can be thoroughly educated as to the objectives of a proposed amendment, we have a situation where 34 Senators can ratify a treaty,—*and thus actually amend the Constitution of the United States.*

34 "LIBERAL" SENATORS CAN TURN THE TRICK!

It is a foregone conclusion that our Founding Fathers never anticipated when they drew up our Constitution that traitors would seize upon this trick to by-pass the Constitutional method that has been used to safeguard the interests of the people and the nation. But it is obvious that it can easily destroy the Constitution itself—*and transform the United States into a minority unit of a "One World" totalitarian government without giving the people time to consider or even know what is going on.*

Bearing in mind the Herbert Lehmans and all his Senatorial co-Liberals, it becomes a MUST for every good American to alert our loyal Senators to smash every effort to get this malodorous Genocide Convention ratified! WRITE YOUR SENATORS TODAY . . . and again IN JANUARY.

U.N. IS U.S. FRANKENSTEIN!

In 1945, when I wrote "Red Rainbow," I injected a scene in which the formation of a new League of Nations under a new name was

condemned on the ground that it would again be just another Debating Society. At the time I had no premonition that it would also become a sounding-board and a propaganda projector for the most vicious and sinister enemy America has been faced with since its inception. In the light of all that has taken place since Mister Alger Hiss master-minded the formation of the United Nations, it might be well to take stock of what this mongrel creature, the "U. N.", has in store for us.

Evidence that there is a grave need for such stock taking is found in the fact that a restudy and a revaluation of the relationship of the United States toward the United Nations, and especially of the grave responsibilities we may have to assume because of certain far-reaching—and *far fetched*—provisions of the U.N.'s charter, will be ordered by the Senate Foreign Affairs Committee at the next session.

Several of our more astute Senators, both Democrats and Republicans, in view of the happenings in Korea, at Lake Success, and elsewhere, are of the opinion that the United States has *already* jeopardized its national security by vesting too much power and sovereignty in what is rapidly assuming the proportions of a super-one-world-government in which we have only one vote in both the Security Council and the General Assembly.

Dean Acheson's self-proclaimed clever (?) strategy in obtaining more authority for the General Assembly, which bans the Veto, is directly responsible for this demand for analysis of the U.N.'s growing powers. With the smaller poverty-stricken and defenseless nations in possession of the vast majority of votes in the Assembly, it will be possible for them, and altogether to their advantage, to demand our military assistance whenever their security is threatened by Russia or by her Satelites. *They have already indicated that intention by ratifying the Genocide Pact!*

ONCE WE RATIFY THAT PACT WE ARE FULLY AND FOREVER COMMITTED!!!

In fact, our most astute foreign affairs experts in Washington frankly opine that the Achesonian *statesmanship* (?), aided by the U.N.'s craftily inspired "Crusade For Freedom," may already have committed

us to send our boys and pour our wealth into every potential trouble spot in the world. Obviously, wherever the Communists may launch an undeclared war, whether it be in Indo-China, Tibet or Malaya, it will be an act of "tyrrany and aggression" which the "Crusade For Freedom" has committed us to "resist"—*under the flag of the United Nations!*

Before further analyzing *our* global responsibilities under the U.N. Charter, it might be well to high light specific but hitherto camouflaged examples of how our membership in this *as yet unofficial* one-World Government embarrasses and endangers us both at home and abroad.

TRUMAN'S "POLICE ACTION" IN KOREA

Although Korea is not a member nation of the U.N., President Truman announced that it was *our* responsibility *under the U. N. Charter* to resist the aggression of North Koreans. He did this *before* the U.N. decided to back us up. If Russia had not had a "mad" on the Security Council at the time, and refused to attend its meetings, the U.N. would not have approved Truman's action, and the Korean "Police action" would have been *our* headache in its entirety—*as, shorn of all fiction, it IS solely our baby in fact.*

Mr. Truman stated that we were merely taking "police action" to *safeguard the Charter's provisions and guarantees.* That "police action" has already cost us 30,000 casualties and ten billion dollars—*and from present indications "it's only the beginning."* Of course, it is very heartwarming to be informed that Turkey will send a Corporal's guard to help MacArthur—*after the shooting is all over;* likewise, we should be very grateful for that British Battalion that has joined our 150,000 boys, but it is the United States that is doing the job for the *United Nations!*

STATESMEN (?) BECOME MILITARY "STRATEGISTS"

Now then, just so that we cannot be accused of making statements not based on facts, let's take a "look-see" into the happenings in Korea—and at Lake Success—and in Washington.

We all know about the delay of more than a week at the so-called 38th parallel, which cost us much more time—and *American lives!* On October 9th, after much palavering—*while our boys were dying*—it was finally decided to send U. S. troops across that phony border line. But (as reported in the New York Times) as our troops neared the Manchurian frontier, U. S. and U.N. officials again entered into lengthy palavering with regard to border incidents that might hurt the tender feelings of Moscow and Red China. MacArthur was instructed to order all troops not to approach the Russian-Siberian border. Furthermore, only ROK (So. Korean) units would advance to the Chinese-Manchurian border. Other U.N. units were not to go beyond a line—at its closest point—40 miles from Manchuria . . . unless ROK troops met with organized resistance, when our troops could advance to the Chinese border to give them support.

One does not have to be a military expert to understand how such hobbles, placed on a field commander, make it almost impossible to fight a war. All those delays gave the enemy time to regroup and re-arm . . . the Chinese Reds, knowing about all the instructions to MacArthur, promptly moved in. The fiction that the United Nations rather than the United States is carrying the democratic torch is entirely responsible for our present tragic difficulties along the Manchurian border. Had it not been for this U.N. confusion, MacArthur could have cleaned out the routed North Koreans in a matter of days. As it was he was like a prize ring champion trying to finish his opponent, only to have a confused referee constantly tripping him and holding his arms.

In the face of all this the question is: Who is responsible for all these happenings? Well, here are the FACTS: MacArthur's only source of instructions is the Joint Chiefs of Staff in Washington, D.C. It is a foregone conclusion that these military experts, all of whom are junior to MacArthur, would never of their own accord interfere in any way with his conduct of the war from a military point of view. They didn't even argue very much when they disagreed with him—as in the case of the Inchon landing, *which saved us from total disaster.*

The Joint Chiefs, however, come under the Secretary of Defense,

who, in turn, comes under the President. It is absolutely certain that the instructions which stopped MacArthur at the 38th parallel, and again at the edge of the 40 mile zone, come through the Joint Chiefs, as that is the only way instructions can reach MacArthur. Now then, who ordered the Joint Chiefs to pass those orders along to MacArthur? Defense Secretary Marshall could not have done it because the decision was obviously diplomatic—which means that it must come from the President, who, in turn, got it from Secretary of State Acheson . . . and obviously, Acheson got his big idea after consultations and deliberations with the Big Brains at the United Nations . . . *deliberations during which thousands of American boys were losing their lives!!*

THE UNITED NATIONS FLAG

Now let us pass on to another phase of this Jonah and the Whale business—as it pertains to the U. S. and the U.N.:

From Korea come bitter letters from Marines and G.I.'s, all voicing resentment at fighting under the U.N. flag; the following is a reprint of one such letter published in the Los Angeles Times, October 16, 1950:

"Count The Crosses"

"Do the people in the States know who is fighting this war? Most people believe it is the United Nations, but they are mistaken. This war is being fought by the American troops and equipment. It is true there are foreign troops who are fighting, but the extent of these troops doesn't equal the number of U. S. marines alone in Korea. Foreign troops, on arrival in Korea, were of practically no value until they were properly equipped by the U. S. forces. If this is a U.N. affair, why aren't there troops from all U.N. countries over here? To most U. S. troops in Korea, the United Nations is a big farce.

"We are not complaining about fighting, but we took it for granted this was a U.N. affair and not the United States alone. Why should we bear the burden of war while our allies stand idly by? The United Nations decided on helping South Korea,

but look at your paper and see who is fighting it. If you could see the white crosses at Seoul alone, you would be astonished to see 20 American crosses for each foreign cross. Do you think this is fair?

"TWO MARINES,
in North Korean."

"Wonsan."

Yet, here in America we have witnessed the raising of that so-called U.N. flag over our school houses and public buildings. There are many One-World minded individuals even demanding that we discard our Stars and Stripes altogether. The UWFers openly refuse to pledge allegiance to our flag. One of them, one Milton Mayer, publicly demanded that we "haul down the American flag, spit on it and stamp on it." Another one, an inconsequential little Radio announcer, one Chet Huntley, stood up before the Los Angeles County Supervisors and demanded that the U.N. flag be hoisted above the American flag . . . *this would be debaser of our flag was strongly hissed and booed out of the room.* but that did not deter him from spouting similar disloyalty to the land that feeds him in his C.B.S. broadcasts . . . *presumably with the blessing of the Columbia Broadcasting System!!!*

Recently, a California Chamber of Commerce received an impressive looking print entitled "Flags of Destiny," painted by Clarence Sweitzer and issued by an organization calling itself "The Flag of Destiny." Obviously, it is being sent to all Chambers of Commerce. This print shows the United Nations flag . . . but if you look more closely you will note that this U.N. flag is printed *over the flag of the United States!* You will see a fraction of the Stars and Strips at the top of the print, another fraction on the flag pole side, and a scrap of it sticking out at the bottom.

That is the most blasphemous, yet diabolically clever, piece of U.N. propoganda that has ever come to our attention! It is a mortal insult to any American who loves his country! In so many words, that print states that the United States is on its way out and that motley group of rag tag bankrupt little nations, banded together under the name "United Nations," is taking its place!

There is a LAW concerning the flag of the United States. It was passed by a joint Resolution of Congress and signed by the President on June 22, 1942, and, in part, reads as follows:

"The Flag should never be used for advertising purposes in any manner whatsoever, nor be embroidered on such articles as cushions or handkerchiefs and the like, printed or otherwise impressed on paper napkins or boxes or anything that is designed for temporary use and discard; or used as any portion of a costume or athletic uniform . . ."

Yet, somebody has had the brazen . . . *and criminal* . . . audacity to print the U.N. rag on top of our Old Glory!!!

TREASON BY ANY OTHER NAME

This print was accompanied by a letter issued by A. D. Whiteside—*President of Dun and Bradstreet, Inc., no less!* It reads as follows:

"Since 1776, to every American the Stars and Stripes have symbolized the destiny of the nation. From 1950 on, to the people of the world, the United Nations flag will symbolize the destiny of all nations, as the flag of each member of the United Nations bears equal value with the flag of every other nation large or small."

As this *great and patriotic* American was writing the above treason—in October 1950—our boys were being tortured and slaughtered in Korea . . . Moscow's twin hatchet men, Vinshinsky and Malik, were vilifying the United States, and deriding the United Nations . . . the Chinese Communists were preparing to slaughter more of the flower of our youth . . . and Harry Truman was planning how to inflict additional taxes on us to pay for *the United Nations Police action in Asia!* Mr. Whiteside ignored all that and went on to say:

"It is not unreasonable to believe that in the not distant future all nations, joined through the United Nations, will live in a period of unending peace based upon international justice. And every flag of every nation will be a symbol of progress toward a type of world civilization which up to this time could not have been sensibly visualized."

This twaddle . . . *utterly fantastic, considering its source* . . . is not every worthy of comment! History is full of United Nations

under various names and designations. None of them was more than a debating society . . . none of them ever stopped a war . . . none ever cured any international ills. *Only an overwhelmingly strong America, unfettered, and untrammelled by a phony United Nations, can hold Red Russia in line and prevent a Third World War!*

As to our flag: whenever you hear the blathering of a pip-squeak Radio announcer, or the twaddle of a Whiteside, besmirching the honor of the Stars and Stripes, think back to old Barbara Fritchie who waved that flag in the face of the entire Confederate army and cried out:

“Shoot, if you must, this old gray head,
But spare your country’s flag!”

And at the same time think back to the great and honorable Stonewall Jackson, who *eulogized* her for her loyalty to her flag!

You will find no U.N. flags on any British flag poles . . . France does not accord it equality with *her* flag . . . even little Belgium would rip it down if anyone dared to hoist it alongside her flag—as to Russia, if even a Molotov dared to place it alongside the Hammer and the Sickle, he would be shot instantaneously! Yet, *here* we have people who call themselves Americans brazenly clamoring to have the U.N. rag replace our Stars and Stripes!

Is it possible that we of this generation have become so degenerate and craven that we can stand by and let knaves and traitors desecrate our flag without lifting a hand—or a voice—to defend it?

MORE TO COME

Lack of space in this issue prevents further tabulation of the many crafty schemes of the U.N. and its conniving One-World traitorous collaborators to drain away the wealth and strength of America! UNNRA was one of them . . . and it is no longer a secret that *that dear friend of Alger Hiss*, Senator Herbert Lehman, handed the administering of it over to Reds throughout the Balkans, and elsewhere. It is a known fact that imprints and labels “MADE IN U.S.A.” were promptly ripped off and replaced with: “MADE IN SOVIET RUSSIA.” Our *allies* were supposed to contribute a few percentage points of money and goods to that UNNRA “humanitarian” gesture . . . when the whole truth will finally be made known it will be discovered that those *contributions* consisted of *promises*—or of

AMERICAN DOLLARS *donated under the Marshall Plan!*

The Marshall Plan, which drained off untold billions of American dollars into a bottomless rat hole, was another foxy U.N. inspired scheme in the same direction . . . and through it all, Mister Trygvic Lie has been playing footsies with *good old Joe Stalin* . . . likewise India . . . likewise sly old England, who decided to feather her own little nest by rushing recognition to Joey's Chinese Reds!

All those "diplomacies" and "Red Herrings" will come up for analysis in our next issue.

ADDING INSULT TO INJURY

On November 8, Defense Secretary Marshall announced that he had recommended one Anna Rosenberg for the post of Assistant Defense Secretary. This is adding insult to all the atrocities the New-Fair Dealers have perpetrated against the American people. Of course, Marshall theorized that by issuing his announcement on the day after the election it would be lost in the welter of election returns and go generally unnoticed . . . *the usual sly trick of our present Washington Big Brains.*

Annie Rosenberg is one of the original Roosevelt New-Dealers . . . *which in itself is more than enough to make her suspect.* She has been an active participant in all the evil-smelling Rooseveltian political trickery from the day the Gentleman of Yalta took office as Governor of New York. In 1944 she went to France as FDR's *personal representative* to "study conditions" . . . after a 17 days' "study" she shocked our top generals with a statement that *American soldiers overseas were unfit to resume their normal lives at home until they had undergone "reorientation" courses.* Despite her slandering of our fighting men, when Roosevelt died, Truman appointed her to "study" the problem of the returning soldiers. When Marshall announced Annie as his choice for the post of Assistant Defense Secretary, Truman promptly approved, and is reported to have proclaimed her "an expert on the problems of man power." Our President has the very unhappy faculty of sticking his foot into his mouth every time he opens it: he just got through publicly eating crow for his gratuitous insult to the Marines, he now insults the intelligence of the Army by approving the appointment of a woman to take charge of its *man power* . . . he might have sugar-coated that insult by suggesting Mae West—at least Mae is 100 percent American!

Speaking of Americanism, let's take a look at Anna Rosenberg's record:

The John Reed Clubs are Communist organizations named in honor (?) of John Reed, who was one of the earliest Communist leaders in the United States. Reed died in Russia and his ashes are interred in the Kremlin in Moscow. All of the most vicious Reds in America from Paul Robeson down have been actively connected with the John Reed Clubs, among them, ANNA ROSENBERG! . . . Then there is the "New York State Committee for Equality in Education." It is packed with such notorious Red Frontiers as Bishop Bromley Oxnam, Roger Baldwin, Joe Lash, etc., etc., . . . also ANNA ROSENBERG!!! Is it necessary to dig any deeper into Annie's record? Congress is shortly to be insulted by being asked to approve the appointment of this woman to the post of Assistant Defense Secretary . . . CEG officially condemns and protests this appointment . . . *every individual American is urged to write similar protests to Senators and Representatives!*

STASSEN DOES IT AGAIN

Harold E. Stassen is a politician. A politician becomes moribund unless he stays in the public eye. Mr. Stassen wants to be President of the United States—hence he employs every artifice to stay in the public eye. For that he is not to be censured or criticized. But his latest artifice, to express it mildly, reduces to zero his negligible stature as a presidential aspirant: hardly has he got through sticking his neck out with his fatuous letter to "good old Joe" Stalin, when he lifts his voice in eulogy of the "United World Federalist's" traitorous efforts to "abolish the United States." Not only that, but from Philadelphia comes the report that on November 19, he turned "actor" and appeared in a pageant staged by the UWF. This pageant, entitled "The Myth that Threatens the World", was written by Oscar Hammerstein, one of Broadway's most notorious Red Fronters; it is frequently dragged in as an after piece with his highly successful "South Pacific" and thus forced down the throats of an unsuspecting

audience. When a Stassen lends his name, his voice, and his person, to a movement which is dedicated to the abolishment of our country as a sovereign nation he automatically and completely disqualifies himself for *any* public office *let alone the Presidency of the United States!*

"CRUSADE FOR FREEDOM"

At long last others are beginning to see through the sham and the fraud of the muchly ballyhooed "Crusade for Freedom." On October 27, 1950, "Tydings," official Catholic Church publication in Los Angeles, published a Special dispatch from New York. Under the heading "BROADWAY PINKS CHANGING BANDWAGONS": the article concerns itself with a Rally on behalf of the "Crusade For Freedom" at the Majestic Theatre in New York. General Lucius Clay presided . . . seated on the platform with him, and vociferously lauding and eulogizing the "Crusade For Freedom," were such notorious Red Fronters as Herman Shumlin, Jose Ferrer, Myron McCormick, Jean Muir, Hazel Scott, Mady Christians, etc., all of whom have through the years been open protectors of Communism and foes of Americanism. Those named were only a few among hundreds of notorious Reds, Pinks and Fellow-travellers who climbed up on General Clay's Bandwagon that night . . . *to date, neither General Clay nor General Eisenhower has responded to our open letter published in our October News-Bulletin!!!* Apparently they can't!!!

RED LITERATURE IN PUBLIC LIBRARIES

Several months ago we had occasion to do some research work in the Los Angeles Public Library—and were shocked by the discovery that the shelves were literally loaded with pro-communist literature, almost to the exclusion of *anti-communist*. Likewise, the various clerks and Librarians were seemingly more co-operative with the seekers of pro-communist information than with those who sought anti-communist literature. Thereupon we instructed investigators in various parts of the country to check their various libraries. The

findings, generally, confirmed our suspicions. One report is as follows:

"Nov. 15, 1950. Re Beverly Hills Public Library; Librarian Mrs. Mary Boynton Terry: Pro-Communist literature on open shelves—especially periodicals such as 'The Nation'; 'New Leader'; 'New Republic'; 'In Fact,' etc. None of them was labeled as pro-communist. There were no definitely anti-communist periodicals . . . On the open book shelves, there were books by many known pro-communist authors, such as: Lenin, Karl Marx, Adamic, Duranty, Feuchtwanger, Hindus, etc. By open shelves, I mean those to which any person has free access—*such as students.*

"Also, in the entire library there is only one copy of the 'California State Senate Fact-Finding Committee Report for 1948,' *none* of the 1949 Report. When I asked about them, no interest was shown as to securing additional copies.

"On the basis of these and other facts, it is my belief that the Beverly Hills Public Library is *favoring* pro-communist literature and should be officially investigated.

"Signed"

This shocking state of affairs shrieks for rigid investigation by PTA and state officials, in every community in America! Every American mother and father should demand it! As for Beverly Hills—every resident of that community is urged to write to your State Senator and Assemblyman, to your mayor and Councilmen. We know all of these gentlemen and can assure you that your protests will receive excellent attention. WRITE NOW!

M I S C E L L A N Y

The election returns indicate that at long last the American people are awakening—*praise the Lord and pass the ammunition!* . . . In Oklahoma the voters emphatically and properly branded the "UWF" by burying their World Government Resolution: the vote was FOUR

America further had their ears knocked down by the General Federation of Women's Clubs, in a press statement issued by Mrs. Oscar A. Ahlgren, vice-president of the Federation, emphatically denying that the Federation had ever endorsed the "world federalism" movement—and demanding that UWF and all such organizations refrain from to ONE against it . . . This same gang of Red-inspired haters of claiming such support . . . Our Petition for a Congressional investigation of the Federal Communications Commission will be submitted in Washington, D. C., in January, after the "lame ducks" are out . . . In our January issue we will publish the itinerary of a 50-City nationwide Myron C. Fagan lecture tour in conjunction with the Release of the film "IT CAN HAPPEN HERE" . . .

We are informed *by excellent authority* that unless President Truman gets rid of Acheson, *but quick*, a movement will be started to impeach Truman himself . . . IN CALIFORNIA A MOVEMENT IS ALREADY UNDER WAY TO DRAFT SEN. JACK B. TENNEY BACK INTO THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF THE SENATE FACT-FINDING COMMITTEE, which has become innocuous since Sen. Hugh Burns became its Chairman . . . There is a rumor that Governor Earl Warren, *now that the election is safely under his belt*, is once again *planning* to maneuver Isaac Pacht into a post on the California State Board of Corrections—that would not be smart, Governor!!!

We earnestly urge all Californians to write to their State Senators and Assemblymen—and to Warren—a vehement denunciation of and protest against such an appointment.

CONCLUSION

In our next issue we shall endeavor to set aside sufficient space for a thorough exposition of the duplicity and chicanery of the PROFESSIONAL PATRIOT, whose dollar-deep "patriotism" and greed are in many ways even more helpful to the Reds than the machinations of the Fellow-traveller.

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. Box 596
Boring, OR 97009